

*Status of SLUM Improvement Projects Implemented with External Assistance*

S. No.	Name of Project & Implementing Agency	Duration of the Project	Total approved cost of the Projects (Rs. in Crores)	No. of Slums proposed to be covered	Expenditure incurred (Rs. in Crores)
1.	Hyderabad SIP-III Municipal Corpn. Hyderabad	1989-90 to 12.12.96	42.75	300	42.00 (Upto Dec.95)
2.	Visakhapatnam SIP Municipal Corpn. Vizag	1988-89 to 31.3.96	28.59	190	29.28 (Upto March, 96)
3.	*Chinagadali	1993-94 to 31.3.98	6.95	—	5.36 (Upto March, 96)
4.	Vijayawada SIP Municipal Corpn. Vijayawada	1990-91 to 30.6.97	49.15	136	39.01 (Upto Dec. 96)
5.	Indore HIP Indore Dev. Authority	1990-91 to 31.3.97	60.50	183	50.95 (Upto Dec. 96)
6.	Calcutta SIP CMDA	1990-91 to 31.3.98	46.19	167	33.77 (Upto July, 96)
7.	Cuttack UPRP Phase-I Cuttack Mpl. & CDA	1995	25.70	49	0.68** (Upto Oct. 96)
8.	Cochin UPRP Phase-I Corpn. of Cochin	1995	30.00	152	0.88** (upto Oct. 96)
9.	Bangalore Urban Poverty Alleviation Project, Phase-I	Nov., 93** to March, 97	1.50**	14** (3700 hose-hold)	0.78** (upto April 96)

\* This is being done as an extension of Visakhapatnam SIP and is a resettlement Projects.

\*\* For the Phase-I only.

**Non-Contribution by States**

1933.SHRI I.D. SWAMI : Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state :

(a) whether the Government are aware that most of the State Governments do not contribute their share in the Centrally sponsored welfare and poverty alleviation programmes consequent to which the plight of the poor people has not improved;

(b) if so, the names of the State Government that have not contributed to the Centrally sponsored schemes in the last three years, year-wise and State-wise; and

(c) the action the Government propose to take in the matter ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION AND MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (SHRI YOGINDER K. ALAGH) : (a) The State Governments do, by and large, contribute their

State share towards the implementation of the Centrally sponsored poverty alleviation programmes.

(b) The names of the States which have not contributed their States share to the Centrally sponsored poverty alleviation programmes during the last three year is given in the enclosed statement.

(c) The Government repeatedly reminds the State to expedite the timely release of their matching share: States which do not contribute their share are not release their subsequent instalments of Central funds. Necessary deductions are imposed while releasing the Central share to those States where the full States share is not released. Further more, funds allocated for defaulting States who do not respond positively are diverted to better performing States.

**Statement**

*Integrated Rural Development Programme (IRDP)*

All the States Governments are contributing the matching share against the Central share provided by the

Government of India under Integrated Rural Development Programme. (However, Nagaland has not reported the State release figure to 1994-95).

**Jawahar Rozgar Yojana (JRY)**

All the State Governments are contributing their matching share against the Central share provided by the Government of India under Centrally Sponsored Programme of JRY.

**Employment Assurance Scheme (EAS)**

Jammu & Kashmir was exempted from contributing the State share for the year 1993-94 and 1994-95. The Government of Assam has not released its full State share of the second instalment of EAS of the year 1995-96.

**Nehru Rozgar Yojana (NRY) :**

Nagaland has not provided its matching share under the NRY in 1993-94, 1994-95 and 1995-96.

**Urban Basic Services for Poor (UBSP)**

Three States, namely; Arunachal Pradesh, Maharashtra and Nagaland have not provided any matching State share since 1992-93.

**Prime Minister's Integrated Urban Poverty Eradication Programme (PMIUPEP)**

This scheme was launched in November 1995. No information on provision of State share has been received from Assam, Maharashtra, Mizoram, Nagaland Orissa, Sikkim, Uttar Pradesh, Andaman & Nicobar Islands, Arunachal Pradesh and Pondicherry. The States of Goa, Jammu & Kashmir, Kerala, Haryana, Madhya Pradesh and Meghalaya have only partly released their State share for 1995-96 while Manipur and Himachal Pradesh have released a major part of the State Share. The defaulting State Governments have been reminded to release the State share for 1995-96 to qualify for Central share under PMIUPEP for 1996-97.

**Clearance to Power Projects**

1934.SHRI K.P. SINGH DEO : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether a large number of power projects are pending with the Central Electricity Authority (CEA);

(b) if so, the details thereof, State-wise; and

(c) the steps taken by CEA to clear those projects?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (DR. S. VENUGOPALACHARI) : (a) to (c) As on 31.1.1997. 5 State and Central Sector Projects aggregating to 632.8 MW and 8 Private Sector Projects aggregating to 3486 MW are currently under various stages of examination in the Central Electricity Authority (CEA). The details of the projects are given in the enclosed statement.

The techno economic clearance of these projects by CEA are pending, for want of various inputs from the project authorities. Which cover statutory and other clearances from the state and central agencies, additional information on project costs and financial packages, fuel and other linkages, environment clearances and detailed project report from the promoters of the project.

**Statement**

*List of Power Projects under examination by the Central Electricity Authority for accord of techno-economic clearance*

S.No.	Name of Project	Capacity (MW)
State/Central Sector Schemes (as on 31.1.1997)		
<b>Hydro</b>		
<i>Jammu &amp; Kashmir</i>		
1.	Gri HEP St-II (Ph.I) (PDC. J&K Govt.) (Baramula)	4x70 = 280
<i>Uttar Pradesh</i>		
2.	Dhauliganga HEP (Intermediate) NHPC	3x70 = 210
<i>Mizoram</i>		
3.	Tuirial HEP (NEEPCO)	2x30 = 60
	<b>Total</b>	<b>550</b>
<b>Thermal</b>		
<i>Karnataka</i>		
4.	Yelhanka DGPP Extn. (KEB)	2x23.4 = 46.8
<i>Manipur</i>		
5.	Manipur Heavy fuel oil TPS (Manipur State Electricity Deptt.)	6x6 = 36.0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>82.8</b>
	<b>Grant Total</b>	<b>632.8</b>

*Private Sector Schemes (for which DPR have been received) (as on 31.1.1997)*

S.No.	Name of the Project	Capacity (MW)
1	2	3
<b>Hydro</b>		
<i>Himachal Pradesh</i>		
1.	Malana HEP (M/s. Rajasthan Spinning and Heaving Mill) (Kullu)	2x43 = 86